



Indo - Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IAJMR) ISSN: 2454-1370

PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT OF GREEN GRAM THROUGH SOIL AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF NUTRIENTS

S. Babu*

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

Field investigation was carried out for the productivity enhancement of green gram through soil and foliar application of nutrients at Experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University. The field experiment was conducted during August–October, 2013. The experiment was laid in split plot design and replicate thrice with different nutrient levels viz., M₁–Control (no fertilizer application), M₂–RDF (25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹), M₃–Soil application of 85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and 15% inert ingredients, M₄–RDF+ Soil application of 85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and 15% inert ingredients, as the main plot treatments and foliar spray as the sub plot treatments viz., S₁–Control (no foliar application), S₂–2% DAP application, S₃–foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material, S₄–Seaweed extract application, S₅–Nitrobenzene application and S₆–Pulse wonder application on 25th and 45th DAS were allocated. The result of the main field experiment showed that M₄S₃ excelled all other treatments by recording higher growth and yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and post-harvest available nutrient status during the cropping period. It also recorded enhanced monetary benefit in terms of net income and return rupee⁻¹ invested.

Key words: RDF, NPK, Humic acid, Fulvic acid, pulse wonder, Seaweed extract and Nitrobenzene.

1. Introduction

Pulses are important food crops due to their high protein and essential amino acid content. Pulses are used as food and animal feed. Many exporting countries are dependent on India for marketing their pulses. Even though India is the world's largest producer and consumer of pulses still there is a gap of 2.4 million tonnes between the production and consumption of pulses in the country, which is met through imports. Protein malnutrition has created disorder in developing countries including India. The per capita consumption of pulses in India is around 30-35 g day⁻¹ as against the recommendation of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) at 45

g day⁻¹ and World Health Organization (WHO) at 80 g day⁻¹ (Kannaiyan, 2000).

The low yield is attributed to several seasons viz., cultivated as rainfed crops, as intercrops in marginal lands, poor management practices and low yield potential of varieties. In addition to that the lack of nutrients during the critical stages of crop growth leads to nutrient stress, which leads to poor yield and productivity of the crop. Proper nutrient management is an important factor to be considered for sustaining pulse productivity.

Soil application of nutrients will give the initial boost for soil and growing seedlings (Muhammad Hamayun *et al.*, 2011). Kalaichelvi *et al.* (2006) reported that humic acid application along with recommended inorganic fertilizers and

*Corresponding author: **Dr. S. Babu**

Received: 23.11.2015; Revised: 05.12.2015;

Accepted: 22.12.2015.



organic manures play a greater role in plant biochemical, physical activities and soil fertility, consequently resulting in better growth and yield of crops.

Foliar application of both essential nutrients and growth regulators also plays a vital role in pulse production by stimulating root development, nodulation, energy transformation, various metabolic processes, translocation activity in plants and increasing pod setting and thereby increasing the yield. Similarly, application of DAP, TNAU Pulse wonder, humic based micronutrients as foliar spray significantly improved the yield attributing characters by reducing flower shedding and resulted in increasing number of pods plant⁻¹ (Ravisankar *et al.*, 2003).

Keeping these points in view, the present investigation was carried out to develop specific management practices such as application of nutrients through soil or foliar or combination of both and plant growth regulating chemicals for the green gram to enhance the yield and productivity. To achieve this, the following objectives were fixed.

- To find out the effect of soil application and foliar spray of nutrients on the productivity of green gram.
- To study the interaction effect of soil and foliar nutrition on the growth and yield of green gram.
- To work out the economics of soil and foliar nutrition and to develop a viable nutrient management practice for green gram.

2. Materials and Methods

Field investigations was carried out to study the productivity enhancement of green gram through soil and foliar application of nutrients during August-October, 2013 at Annamalai University experimental farm, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu. The experimental farm is situated at 11°24' N latitude and 79°41' E longitude with an altitude of +5.79 metres above mean sea level. The

weather at Annamalai Nagar warm with hot summer months during 2012 and 2013, respectively. The soil was clay loam in texture with low in available nitrogen, medium in phosphorus and high in available potassium. The green gram variety CO 6 was chosen for the study.

The field experiments were conducted in split plot design with 3 replications. In main plot, treatment viz., M₁-Control (no fertilizer application), M₂-RDF (25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹) alone, M₃-Soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid @ 125g ha⁻¹, M₄-RDF+ Soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid @ 125g ha⁻¹. In sub plots, this constitutes foliar nutrition viz., S₁-Control (No spray), S₂-2% DAP spray at 25 and 45 DAS, S₃-foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material @ 750 g ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS, S₄-Seaweed extract spray@ 750ml ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS, S₅-Nitrobenzene spray@ 750ml ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS, S₆-Pulse wonder spray @ 5.265 kg ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS were allocated. The salient finding of field experiment are presented below.

Five plants from each plots were chosen by simple random sampling method and tagged. These tagged plants were used for recording all biometric observations like plant height, LAI, DMP, No. of branches plant⁻¹, root nodules plant⁻¹, no. of pods plant⁻¹, no. of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield and haulm yield were recorded.

The estimated data were analysed as per the procedure outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1978). The critical difference was worked out at 5 per cent probability level for significant result. The economic parameter such as gross return and benefit cost ratio for all the treatments were worked out based on the prevailing market price. The net return was worked out for different treatments by subtracting the cost of cultivation from gross return. The return rupee⁻¹ invested was calculated by using the following formula.



$$\frac{\text{Return rupee}^{-1} \text{ invested}}{\text{Gross return (Rs. ha}^{-1})} = \frac{\text{Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha}^{-1})}{\text{Gross return (Rs. ha}^{-1})}$$

3. Results and Discussion

The field experiments were programmed to assess and identify an efficient nutrient schedule and foliar nutrient management practices for augmenting the growth and yield of green gram.

Growth Characters

All the growth components were significantly influenced by RDF along with soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid and foliar spray of nutrients. The growth components viz., plant height, leaf area index, number of branches and dry matter production were enhanced due to RDF along with soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid and foliar spray of nutrients.

Irrespective of treatment combinations, RDF (25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹) + soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid @ 125g ha⁻¹ registered higher values of growth components viz., plant height, leaf area index, number of branches, dry matter production and root nodules increased than all other soil application nutrients practices. Regarding foliar nutrition S₃-foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material @ 750 g ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS recorded enhanced values of above growth components in the cropping seasons. This treatment was followed by S₅-Nitrobenzene spray@ 750ml ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS and S₆-Pulse wonder spray @ 5.265 kg ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS they were at on par with each other. The least was recorded the treatment S₁-Control (No foliar application).

Regarding the interactions, M₄ S₃-RDF (25:50:25 kg ha⁻¹) + 85% concentrated Humic acid @ 125g ha⁻¹ alone (85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and inert ingredients 15%) along with foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid + 12% Chelated zinc and 3%

other traces and inert material @ 250 g ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS exceeded all other combinations. This might be due to addition of NPK applied in the initial stages which might have helped in the formation and growth of root in the initial stages. The usefulness of N supplement for initial growth of roots and formation of nodules has been enlightened by Sathyamoorthi *et al.*, (2008).

Effective nutrient management in green gram ecosystem by rational application of humic acid accompanied by micro and macronutrients might have caused internal root growth, which occurred primarily from the lower hypocotyls and it resulted in increased the total length of lateral root and enhanced the rhizobium activity in legumes. Similar findings also reported by Jack *et al.*, (2000) and Suriyalakshmi, (2013).

Yield characters

Yield attributes viz., number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, grain yield, haulm yield and harvest index were also found remarkably increased through M₃- RDF (25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹) + soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid @ 125g ha⁻¹ then all other soil application nutrients practices. Regarding foliar nutrition S₃-foliar application of 85% concentrated humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material @ 250 g ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS recorded enhanced values of above yield components of the cropping seasons. It was followed by S₅-Nitrobenzene spray@ 250ml ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS and S₆-2% Pulse wonder spray @ 5.265 kg ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS they were at on par with each other. The least was recorded the treatment S₁-control (no foliar application).

Regarding the interactions, M₄ S₃-RDF (25:50:25 kg ha⁻¹) + 85% concentrated Humic acid + fulvic acid and inert ingredients 15% @ 125g ha⁻¹ along with foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material @ 250 g ha⁻¹ at 25 and 45 DAS exceeded all other combinations. Advantages with soil application of nutrients together with better foliar



application as followed in the above treatment could partially due to favourable physical, chemical and biological condition of soil brought out by balanced application of macronutrients along with humic acid and fulvic acid based micronutrient combination application against the unbalanced nutrition application in other treatment combinations. (Muhammad Hamayun *et al.*, 2011).

In addition to above favourable physiological soil condition offering efficient and prolonged nutrition supply have favoured the crop with better rooting, higher LAI, pre and post flowering photosynthesis and yield attributes. It is logic to postulate that the parameters had a favourable effect on source and sink capacity resulting in increased grain yields. The above result is in line with the findings of Pandya and Bhatt (2007), Singh (2008) and Suriyalakshmi, (2013).

Among the treatment combinations, $M_4 S_3$ in green gram registered the higher net return ha^{-1} invested. This might be due to higher grain yield and haulm yield. Despite the addition input cost involved, the substantial yield increment obtained with this treatment combination might have result in increased net income and benefit cost ratio. These finding are line with findings of Anitha Bindhani (2007) and Suryavanshi *et al.*, (2008) and Suriyalakshmi, (2013).

Based on the results of field experiment, it might be inferred that the RDF (25:50:25 NPK $kg ha^{-1}$) + soil application of 85% concentrated humic acid formulation @ 125g ha^{-1} combined with foliar application of 85% concentrated Humic acid and fulvic acid +12% Chelated zinc and 3% other traces and inert material @ 250 g ha^{-1} at 25 and 45 DAS application is economically viable, efficiently suitable nutrient management practices for green gram growers in Cauvery deltaic zones of coastal Tamil Nadu.

Table – 1: Effect of soil and foliar application of nutrients on dry matter production ($kg ha^{-1}$) and number of pods $plant^{-1}$ at harvest stage of green gram

Sub plot treatment	Main plot Treatment									
	Dry matter production ($kg ha^{-1}$)					Number of pods $plant^{-1}$				
	M_1	M_2	M_3	M_4	Mean	M_1	M_2	M_3	M_4	Mean
S_1	2996.25	2696.69	2761.32	3037.74	2873	16.57	16.22	17.24	19.21	17.31
S_2	2835.97	3043.24	3109.87	3249.12	3059.55	15.21	19.16	20.08	21.95	19.10
S_3	3087.54	3529.71	3595.37	3758.02	3492.66	20.46	25.53	26.54	28.35	25.22
S_4	2911.43	3119.75	3182.36	3326.72	3135.65	16.58	20.35	21.34	23.21	20.37
S_5	2942.70	3309.50	3374.03	3626.37	3313.15	17.91	23.25	24.27	27.01	23.11
S_6	3017.05	3327.45	3394.08	3599.85	3334.60	18.81	21.99	23.02	25.94	22.44
Mean	2965.15	3171.05	3236.17	3432.97		17.59	21.08	22.08	24.27	

	S.E _d	CD(P=0.05)	S.E _d	CD(P=0.05)
Main	33.31	66.63	0.51	1.03
Sub	39.77	79.55	0.64	1.28
M x S	43.54	87.08	0.62	1.25
S x m	42.68	85.37	0.61	1.22



Table – 2: Effect of soil and foliar application of nutrients on grain yield, haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹) of green gram

Main plot Treatment										
Sub plot treatment	Grain Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)					Haulm Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)				
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	Mean
S ₁	628.64	917.00	923.00	1127.00	898.91	1809.22	1923.06	2003.71	2102.93	1959.73
S ₂	850.34	999.48	1027.48	1287.41	1041.17	2154.23	2366.95	2297.59	2507.56	2331.58
S ₃	1378.00	1467.00	1492.00	1583.00	1480.00	2636.62	2898.72	2861.71	2986.95	2846.00
S ₄	937.56	1078.72	1113.72	1322.00	1108.12	2241.88	2394.59	2375.24	2593.21	2401.23
S ₅	970.21	1193.84	1273.95	1422.00	1215.00	2481.46	2594.92	2621.24	2794.38	2623.00
S ₆	1002.94	1106.62	1286.75	1434.77	1207.77	2494.73	2552.95	2533.59	2706.73	2572.00
Mean	961.28	1127.11	1186.15	1362.69		2303.02	2455.19	2448.84	2615.29	

	S.E _d	CD(P=0.05)	S.E _d	CD(P=0.05)
Main	40.06	80.13	40.32	80.65
Sub	43.62	87.24	43.82	87.65
M x S	47.00	94.01	49	98
S x m	46.67	93.34	51.08	102.16

Table – 3: Effect of soil and foliar application of nutrients on economics of green gram

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs.ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (Rs.ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs.ha ⁻¹)	Return rupee ⁻¹ (Rs.ha ⁻¹)
M ₁ S ₁	14875	33593.89	18718.89	2.25
M ₁ S ₂	15747	45294.79	29547.79	2.87
M ₁ S ₃	19950	72974.31	53024.31	3.65
M ₁ S ₄	15381	49874.06	34493.06	3.24
M ₁ S ₅	15465	51691.65	36226.65	3.34
M ₁ S ₆	15725	53400.24	37675.24	3.39
M ₂ S ₁	19858	48645.53	28787.53	2.44
M ₂ S ₂	20730	53156.43	32426.43	2.56
M ₂ S ₃	24933	77733.36	52800.36	3.11
M ₂ S ₄	20364	57290.73	36926.73	2.81
M ₂ S ₅	20448	63377.14	42929.14	3.09
M ₂ S ₆	20708	58820.71	38112.71	2.84
M ₃ S ₁	16340	48997.85	32657.85	2.99
M ₃ S ₂	17212	54577.75	37365.75	3.17
M ₃ S ₃	21415	79014.85	57599.85	3.68
M ₃ S ₄	16846	59101.06	42255.06	3.50
M ₃ S ₅	16930	67556.02	50626.02	3.99
M ₃ S ₆	17190	68177.79	50987.79	3.96
M ₄ S ₁	19283	59655.46	40372.46	3.10
M ₄ S ₂	20155	68199.10	48044.10	3.38
M ₄ S ₃	20475	83809.47	63334.47	4.09
M ₄ S ₄	19789	70040.60	50251.60	3.53
M ₄ S ₅	19873	75341.19	55468.19	3.79
M ₄ S ₆	20133	75961.40	55828.40	3.77



4. References

- 1) Anita Bindhani, K. C. Banik, L. M. Garnayak and P. K. Mahapatra. 2007. Nitrogen management in baby corn (*zea mays* L.). Indian J. Agron., 52(2): 135-138.
- 2) Jack, A., Hartwigsen and Michael. 2000. Humic acid on seed treatment to promote the seedling root development in vegetable cowpea. Horti. Science, 35(7): 1231-1233.
- 3) Kalaichelvi, K., C. Chunusamy and A. Arul Swaminathan. 2006. Exploiting the natural resource lignite humic acid in Agriculture. A Review. Agric. Rev., 27(4): 276-283.
- 4) Kannaiyan, S. 2000. Pulses research – need for a changed strategy. CAS Training on Recent Advances in Pulse Crop Production Technology, pp. 1-5.
- 5) Muhammad Hamayun, Sumera Azfa Khan, Abdullatifkhan, Zabta Khan Shinwari, Nadeem Ahmad and In-Jung Lee. 2011. Effect of foliar and soil application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on yield components of lentil. Pak. J. Bot., 43(1): 391-396.
- 6) Pandya, C.B. and V.R. Bhatt. 2007. Effect of different nutrient levels on yield and nutrient content of fodder cowpea. Legume Res., 30(3): 218-220.
- 7) Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme. 1978. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, ICAR New Delhi, 3rd edn., pp.328.
- 8) Ravisankar, N., B. Chandrasekaran, K. Sathiyamoorthi & T.N. Balasubramanian. 2003. Effect of agronomic practices for multiblooming in green gram. Madras Agric. J., 90(1-3): 166-169.
- 9) Sathiyamoorthi, K., M.M. Amanullah, E. Somasundaram, S. Pazhanivelan and K. Vaiyapuri. 2008. Root growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) as influenced by increased plant density and nutrient management. J. Applied Sci. Res., 7: 917-924.
- 10) Singh, R.K. 2008. Influence of biofertilizer, fertility level and weed management practice on weed growth and yield of late sown chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Environ and Ecol., 26(3A): 1306-1309.
- 11) Suriyalakshmi, G.B. 2013. Studies on the Enhancement of blackgram productivity through humic acid and micronutrient Cv. VBN 6 and ADT 3. M.Sc., (Ag.) Thesis, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu.
- 12) Suryavanshi, V.P., B.N. Chavan, V.T. Jadhav and M.I.A. Baig. 2008. Response of maize to nitrogen and phosphorus application in vertisols. Int. J. Trop. Agric., 26(3-4): 290-296.

